

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	AL (INS1, INS2) SPECTION (FUI)			OISCOVERY	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0690019 DATE: 12/	8/10	ARRIVE:	1:15		DEPART: <u>1</u>	<u>:45</u>	
FACILITY NAME: LEESBURG	3-READY-MIX & BLO	CK PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION:	27111 CR 33						
(OKAHUMPKA 34762						
		JRD BO		PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(407)312-7119		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUC 1. Name(s) of facility representati Brief Notes: Plant was operati	ve(s): <u>David Griffin, Pla</u>				b	(check 🗹 ox for each o	only one question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative If no, who is?:	ve still SIGURD BO?					⊠ Yes	□No
If different, did the facility provation 3. Is the facility contact still SIGU If no, who is?:	vide an administrative up JRD BO?	odate within 3	30 days?	·		☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4. Will facility be conducting VE If yes, was the compliance auth						☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo #1(cement) w/C&W cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 – CCB Hant-sno #1 (Centent) w/C&w Cartriage dust conector subject to Reasonable	Trecautions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiend emissions by:	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo #2(cement) w/C&W cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta \text{N/A} \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 		 No No No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-silo #3(flyash/slag), w/C&W cartridge dust collect subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	•
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiremissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	following: - Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No□ No□ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-weigh batcher/truck loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 	following: -	NoNoNoNoNoNo
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 6 -CCB Plant-block plant silo(cement)w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta \text{N/A} \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 		 No No No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 7 – CCB Plant-block plant/weigh hopper/mixer w/cart. dust collec subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock I	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precaut emissions by:	ions to control unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall incl 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemica control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to redeparticulate matter?	Yes No No No No No No No N
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the dro	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	X Yes	 No No No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes	 No No No No No No
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM g	<u>ane/yr</u> ≤ 1.00 ne/yr	?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - X Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ c	
1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both sta	ationary and relocatable box for each of	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lee-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical 	rior to changing location? Yes tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five be	ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpor If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it we co-located at the permitted facility?	that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes Yas Yes	□ No□ No□ No
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
CHANGES	(check ☑ c	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration of the facility provide written notification within 30 days or New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes f the change? Yes Yes Yes Yes ntially different? Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		☐ No
Wanda Paker-Garvin	12/8/10	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Wanda Parker Lawin		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Plant was operating and truck loading was observed. The load was compiant, though light emissions of less than 5%. Records indicted on-site fuel to be 3392 gallons of diesel. Last VE report was obtained. A walk-through revealed no major changes, regular maintance only. A sweeper and wash down of the facility is done weekly or as needed. The site received no complainants.